

Math 459 Midterm solutions

1. Consider an individual whose utility function is  $U(x) = \ln(x)$ . If the person chooses occupation A, his or her wealth will be \$1,000,000 with probability 0.8 and \$2,000,000 with probability 0.2. If the person chooses occupation B, his or her wealth will be \$1,100,000 with probability 0.5 and \$1,300,000 with probability 0.5.

a) Which occupation will the person choose and why? Or will the person be indifferent to the alternatives? Explain.

Solution: For A,  $E[U] = 0.8 \cdot \ln \$1M + 0.2 \cdot \ln \$2M = 13.954$ .

For B,  $E[U] = 0.5 \cdot \ln \$1.1M + 0.5 \cdot \ln \$1.3M = 13.994$ .

So the person will choose occupation B.

b) Compute the certainty equivalent for occupation A.

Solution: We want  $C$  with  $U(C) = \ln C = 13.954$ .  $C = e^{13.954} = \$1,148,500$ .

1.c) Repeat parts (a) and (b) using the utility function  $U(x) = x$ .

Solution: For A  $E[U] = 0.8 \cdot \$1M + 0.2 \cdot \$2M = 1.2M$ .

For B,  $E[U(x)] = 0.5 \cdot \$1.1M + 0.5 \cdot \$1.3M = 1.2M$ .

So the person will be indifferent. Clearly the certainty equivalence of \$1.2M is \$1.2M.

2. Consider an 8 month forward contract on a stock with a current price of \$50. The stock pays a dividend of \$1 per share after three months and after six months. The risk-free interest rate, with no compounding, is 6% per year. What is the forward price?

Solution: Since the stock *pays* dividends, the dividends act as a negative carrying cost. So we have

$$F = \frac{\$50}{d(0,8)} - \frac{\$1}{d(3,8)} - \frac{\$1}{d(6,8)} = \$49.965.$$

3. A hamburger chain plans a purchase at the end of the year of 6,000,000 pounds of soybean oil, hedging this with a position in January 2010 soybean oil futures, now priced at 32.50 cents per pound with a standard deviation of 24%. The spot price is 30.85 cents per pound with a standard deviation of 28%, and the correlation between the two prices is 0.72. One futures contract is for 60,000 pounds. What futures position should the hamburger chain take for a minimum variance hedge, i.e., how many contracts, and long or short?

Solution: Since the chain is buying at the end of the year, they should go long in futures. The hedge ratio is

$$\rho \frac{\sigma_S \sigma_F}{\sigma_F^2} = \rho \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_F} = 0.72 \frac{0.28 \cdot 30.85}{0.24 \cdot 32.50} = 0.80.$$

So the chain should buy  $\frac{0.80 \cdot 6,000,000}{60,000}$  or 80 contracts.

4. Suppose you want to approximate  $\sqrt{3}$ . You can use Newton's method with initial guess 2, or the midpoint method with initial interval  $[1.5, 2]$ . Which do you think will be better after three iterations? Do the iterations and verify your intuition.

Solution: I think Newton will be better. Let  $F(x) = x^2 - 3$ .

Bisector Method:  $F(1.5) = -0.75 < 0$ ,  $F(2) = 1 > 0$ .  $F(1.75) = 0.0625 > 0$ , so  $1.5 < \sqrt{3} < 1.75$ .  $F(1.625) = -0.359 < 0$ , so  $1.625 < \sqrt{3} < 1.75$ . Finally,  $F(1.6875) = -0.152$ , so  $1.6875 < \sqrt{3} < 1.75$ .

Newton's Method:  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{F(x_n)}{F'(x_n)}$ .  $x_0 = 2$ . Doing the computations to three decimal places,  $x_1 = \frac{7}{4}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{97}{56} = 1.732$ , and  $x_3 = x_2$ . So two iterations of Newton's method gives  $\sqrt{3}$  to three decimal places, while three iterations of the bisector method gives a little better than one decimal place.

5. (Essay Question) Discuss the notion of implied volatility, including the following: a definition; how you know there is a unique solution; and how to find the solution.

Solution: In the Black-Scholes formula for a European call we can obtain current exact values for  $S, r, K, T, t$ , and the option price  $C$ , so the only quantity in the Black-Scholes formula which we can't measure exactly is the volatility  $\sigma$ . If we fix the values of  $S, r, K, T$  and  $t$ , then we can consider  $C$  as a function of  $\sigma$ . Given a price  $C^*$  for the option, the implied volatility is the value  $\sigma^*$  such that  $C(\sigma^*) = C^*$ . Since  $\partial C / \partial \sigma > 0$ , the value of  $\sigma^*$  is unique. One cannot solve for  $\sigma^*$  analytically. Newton's method used to find a root of  $F(\sigma) = C(\sigma) - C^*$  is an efficient numerical way of finding the implied volatility. If one uses the inflection point as the starting point, then Newton's method will converge.