

## Lecture 17

Recall  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . Suppose  $gP \cap P = gPg^{-1} \cap P = \begin{cases} P \\ \{e\} \end{cases} \forall g \in G$ .

Let  $L = N_G(P)$ . Then

Then Induction & Restriction induce a 1-1 cor. btw indeg. nonproj.  $kG$  and  $kL$  modules

$$U_L \cong V \oplus \text{Proj}, \quad V^G \cong U \oplus \text{Proj}$$

Moreover, induction & restriction induce a stable equivalence.

Green Correspondence - Generalizes above

Notation Fix a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  and choose  $N_G(Q) \leq H \leq G$ .

$$X = \{ X \leq G \mid X \leq Q \cap gQg^{-1} \text{ for some } g \in G, g \notin H \}$$

$$Y = \{ Y \leq G \mid Y \leq H \cap gQg^{-1} \text{ for some } g \in G, g \notin H \}$$

Note that  $X \leq Y$  and  $Q \notin Y$ .

Note also each subgroup in  $X$  is proper in  $Q$ .

Lemma Assume:  $M$  is an indec  $kH$ -module that is rel.  $Q$ -projective.

1.  $(M^G)_H \cong M \oplus M'$  where each summand in  $M'$  is proj. relative to a subgroup in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
2. Write  $M^G \cong V \oplus V'$ ,  $V$  indec and  $M|V_H$ . Then every summand of  $V'$  is projective relative to a subgroup in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof 1. Choose  $U$  indec  $kQ$  module with  $U_Q^H \cong M \oplus M_0$ ,  $(U_Q^G)_H \cong (M^G)_H \oplus (M_0^G)_H$

By Mackey  $(U_Q^G)_H \cong U_Q^H \oplus U'$  where  $U'$  is rel  $\mathcal{Y}$  projective

Combine  $\square$ 's,  $(M^G)_H \oplus (M_0^G)_H \cong M \oplus M_0 \oplus U'$

Cancel  $M, M_0$  to get  $(M^G)_H \cong M \oplus M'$  with  $M'|U'$  as desired. //

2. Let  $M^G \cong V \oplus V'$  with  $V$  indec,  $M|V_H$ .

Choose  $V_1$  a summand of  $V'$ , it is rel  $Q$ -projective since  $V$  is.  
Choose  $Q_1 \leq Q$  a vertex and a source  $S_1$ . So

$$\boxed{S_1 | V_1 \downarrow_{Q_1}^G}. \text{ Choose } \boxed{M_1 | (V_1)_H} \text{ so } \boxed{S_1 | M_1 \downarrow_Q^H}$$

~~Now  $M_1$  is a rel  $Q_1$  proj  $H$ -module~~

Now  $M_1 | (V_1)_H | (M^G)_H$  so  $M_1$  is rel  $\mathcal{Y}$ -proj by 1, i.e. has vertex  $H/\langle Q_1 \rangle$ .

Now  $S_1 | M_1 \downarrow_Q^H$  so  $S_1 | ((?)_{H/\langle Q_1 \rangle}^H)_Q \Rightarrow S_1$  is rel  $\mathcal{X}$  proj

This  $V_1$  is rel  $\mathcal{X}$  proj. //

Thm (Green Correspondence)

Suppose  $Q \leq G$  is a  $p$ -subgroup and  $Q \trianglelefteq N_G(Q) \leq H \leq G$ . Then  $\exists$  a 1-1 correspondence between indecomposable  $kH$  modules with vertex  $Q$  and indecomposable  $kH$  modules with vertex  $Q$ , given as follows:

1.  $V$  indec  $kQ$  w/ vertex  $Q$  then  $V_H$  has unique summand  $f(V)$  w/ vertex  $Q$ .  
Remaining summands have vertex in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .
2.  $M$  indec  $kH$  module w/ vertex  $Q$  then  $M^G$  has a unique summand  $g(M)$  with vertex  $Q$ , and other summands have vertices in  $\mathcal{X}$ .
3.  $f(g(M)) \cong M$ ,  $g(f(V)) \cong V$
4. Correspondence preserves being trivial source.

Remark In the TI case  $\mathcal{X} = \{e\}$  by definition, so  $M^G \cong g(M) \oplus \text{Proj}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is not just  $\{e\}$ . So we have a TI Sylow  $P$  and

$$e \neq gPg^{-1} \cap H, g \in H.$$

Then  $gPg^{-1} \cap H$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , hence conjugate into  $P$ .

Thus  $\exists x \in H$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(gPg^{-1} \cap H)x^{-1} &\leq P \\
 &\cong \\
 xgPg^{-1} \cap H &\leq P \Rightarrow xgP(xg^{-1}) \cap P \neq \{e\} \\
 &\Rightarrow xg \in N_G(P) \leq H
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{Y} = \{e\}$  and  $V_H \cong f(V) \oplus \text{Proj} \Rightarrow g \in H \neq$ .

# Proof of Green Correspondence

1. Given  $V$  indec  $kG$ -mod w/ vertex  $Q$ , source  $S$  so  $V|S \downarrow^G$ . Let  $S \uparrow^H \cong M \oplus M'$  where  $M$  is indec and  $V|M \downarrow^G$ .

By Lemma part 1,  $(M \uparrow^G)_H \cong M \oplus_{\text{rel } \mathcal{Y} \text{ pros}}$  want  $V_H \cong M \oplus \mathcal{Y} \text{ pros}$

Now  $V|(V_H) \downarrow^G$  so  $V_H$  has a summand with vertex  $Q$ . But  $V|M \downarrow^G$  and  $Q \notin \mathcal{Y}$  so  $M|V_H$  and  $M$  has vertex  $Q$ , other summands rel  $\mathcal{Y} \text{ pros}$ .  
Let  $f(V) = M$ .

2. Suppose  $M$  is indec  $kH$ -module with vertex  $Q$ . Always  $M|(M \uparrow^G)_H$  so choose  $V$  indec,  $V|M \downarrow^G$  so  $M|V_H$ .

By Lemma part 2,  $M \uparrow^G \cong V \oplus_{\text{rel } \mathcal{X} \text{ pros}}$ , so choose  $g(M) = V$ .

3. Start with  $V \in kG$ -mod, with source  $S$ .

$G$   $V$

$M'$  is rel  $\mathcal{Y}$  pros

$H$   $S \uparrow^H \cong M \oplus M'$

Thus  $V|M \downarrow^G$ ,  $V \times M' \downarrow^G$

$Q$   $S$

If start with  $M$ ,  $V|M \downarrow^G$

Choose same  $V$ !

Source is constant.

## Green Correspondence Remarks

1. It is ubiquitous in representation theory
2. There are results comparing  $\text{Hom}_R(V_1, V_2)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{kH}(f(V_1), f(V_2))$  but not directly, i.e. mod out by maps factoring through relatively  $H$ -projective modules
3. Thm Suppose  $U$  indec  $kG$  module w/ vertex  $Q$  and  $M$  the corresponding  $kH$ -module

1. For a  $kG$ -module  $W$ ,  $U/W$  iff  $M/W_L$ .

2. ~~IF  $W$  is indec~~ IF  $W$  is indec and  $M/W_L$  then  $W \cong U$ .

i.e. 2 is a sort of converse, if you "cover" the Green corr then you are the Green corr.