

## Lecture 17 • go over homework

Dual Modules  $V, W$   $L$ -modules, make  $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$  an  $L$ -module via  
 $(x \cdot \theta)(v) = x \cdot \theta(v) - \theta(x \cdot v)$

Remark When  $W = F$  w/ trivial module structure then  $(x \cdot \theta)(v) = -\theta(x \cdot v)$ ,  $\theta \in V^*$

Let  $V$  have basis  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , dual basis  $B^* = \{\check{v}_1, \check{v}_2, \dots, \check{v}_n\}$  of  $V^*$

$$\check{v}_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

Observe Let  $\theta = \sum c_i \check{v}_i \in V^*$ . Then  $c_i = \theta(v_i)$  so:

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(v_i) \check{v}_i \quad (*)$$

Let  $x \in L$ ,  $\Psi_V(x) = A$  matrix in terms of  $B$ , so:

$$x \cdot v_j = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{kj} v_k \quad \text{What is } x \cdot \check{v}_j?$$

$$(x \cdot \check{v}_j)(v_k) = -\check{v}_j(x \cdot v_k) = -\check{v}_j\left(\sum_{s=1}^n A_{sk} v_s\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^n -A_{sjk} \quad \text{so}$$

$$x \cdot \check{v}_j = \sum_{k=1}^n -A_{jk} v_k \quad (**)$$

Comparing  $(*)$ ,  $(**)$  we see matrix of  $\Psi_{V^*}(x)$  in terms of  $B^*$  is  $-A^T$ .

Cor If  $\exists$  basis  $B$  so all  $[\Psi(x)]_B$  are skew symmetric,  
then  $V \cong V^*$

Rank HW  $\text{Hom}_L(V, W) = \text{Hom}_F(V, W) \xleftarrow{L} 0$  weight space.

Review  $L/\mathbb{C}$  Lie alg w/ Killing form  $\kappa$ .

Thm 1.  $L$  is solvable iff  $L' \subseteq L^\perp$   
2.  $L$  is semisimple iff  $L^\perp = 0$ .

Cor 1  $L$  is semisimple iff  $L$  is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

Cor 2 Every derivation of a semisimple Lie algebra is inner.

Long term goal Classify all simple (hence semisimple) Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$

Tools 1.  $\text{ad}: L \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$

2. Results above,  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  results, abstract Jordan Dec.

Recall  $V/\mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  has a unique Jordan dec.  $x = d + n$ ,  $d$  diagonalisable,  $n$  nilpotent, and  $[d, n] = 0$ . Further  $d, n$  are polynomials in  $x$ .

Rank For  $L$  arbitrary,  $\Psi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , Jordan dec of  $\Psi(x)$  isn't so useful, e.g.  $L = \langle x \rangle$ ,  $\Psi(x)$  is an arbitrary matrix.

Idea:  $L$  semisimple  $/\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{ad}: L \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ , we can make this work.

Recall  $T: V \rightarrow V$  linear, the generalized  $\lambda$ -eigenspace is

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid (T - \lambda I)^m v = 0 \text{ for some } m \geq 0\}$$

For  $J \in \mathbb{C}$  this is entire space. So over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_\lambda$  is just span of all basis vectors corr to Jordan blocks of e-value  $\lambda$ .

(3)

Prop Let  $L/\mathbb{C}$  be a Lie alg. Let  $\delta \in \text{Der } L \cong \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ . Suppose  $\delta$  has Jordan Dec  $\delta = \sigma + \nu$ . Then  $\sigma, \nu$  are both derivations.

Proof Let  $L_\lambda = \{x \in L \mid (\delta - \lambda \text{Id})^m x = 0 \text{ some } m \geq 1\}$ . Then

$$L = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \text{ evlup} \\ \text{of } \delta}} L_\lambda, \text{ and note } L_\lambda \text{ is } \lambda\text{-eigenspace of } \sigma.$$

HW  $[L_\lambda, L_\mu] \subseteq L_{\lambda+\mu}$  (use def of derivation!)

By HW, for  $x \in L_\lambda, y \in L_\mu, [x, y] \in L_{\lambda+\mu}$  so

$$\sigma([x, y]) = (\lambda + \mu)[x, y]$$

$$[\sigma(x), y] + [x, \sigma(y)] = [\lambda x, y] + [x, \mu y] = (\lambda + \mu)[x, y]$$

Thus  $\sigma$  is a derivation, and so is  $\eta = \delta - \sigma$ . //

Thm Let  $L$  be a complex, semisimple Lie alg. Every  $x \in L$  can be written uniquely as  $x = d + n$  with  $[d, n] = 0$ ,  $d$  diagonalizable, and  $n$  nilpotent.

Moreover, if  $[x, y] = 0$  then  $[d, y] = [n, y] = 0$ .

Def  $x = d + n$  is abstract Jordan Decomposition.

If  $n = 0$  say  $x$  is semisimple element.

RT Write  $\text{ad } x = \sigma + \nu$  w/  $[\sigma, \nu] = 0$ ,  $\sigma$  diagonaliz,  $\nu$  nilpotent.

By the proposition,  $\sigma, \nu$  are both derivations. By Cor 2 they are inner, so  $\sigma = \text{ad } d, \nu = \text{ad } n$ . So  $\text{ad } x = \text{ad } (d+n)$ , but  $\text{ad}$  is faithful so  $x = d+n$ . Now  $\text{ad}([d, n]) = [\text{ad } d, \text{ad } n] = 0$

$$\text{so } [d, n] = 0.$$

Rmk To get abs J.D of  $x$ , just "pull back" usual J.D. of  $\text{ad } x$ .

Pf of Lemma Suppose  $[x, y] = \text{ad } x(y) = 0$ .

Write  $\eta = c_0 \text{Id} + c_1 \text{ad } x + \dots + c_s (\text{ad } x)^s$ . Then  $\eta(y) = c_0 y$ , but  $\eta$  is nilp so  $c_0 = 0$  so  $\eta(y) = [\eta, y] = 0$ .

But  $[x, y] = [\eta, y] = 0 \Rightarrow [d, y] = 0$ .

Rmk Suppose  $x \in L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then  $x$  has a Jord Dec as a member of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . It also has an abstract J.D. coming from  $\text{ad } x \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ . They are the same.

Main Thm Suppose  $L$  is semisimple,  $\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a representation. Suppose  $x \in L$  has abst JD  $x = d + n$ .

Then  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(d) + \varphi(n)$  is the Jordan Dec of  $\varphi(x)$ .

Pf.  $\varphi: L \rightarrow \varphi(L) \cong L/\ker \varphi$ . Note  $\varphi(L)$  is also semisimple.

Lemma  $L \rightarrow L'$  surjection,  $x = d + n$  abs JD  $\Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \varphi(d) + \varphi(n)$  abst J.D. in  $L'$ .

Pf Use T/F from chpt 2,  $\text{ad } d \text{ diag} \leftrightarrow \text{ad}(\varphi(d))$  is, if  $\varphi(n)$ .

But Rmk above says  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(d) + \varphi(n)$  is also the ordinary Jordan Dec. //

\*\* This thm will be applied repeatedly.