

Lecture 11Review

Engel's Thm Suppose $L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a Lie subalgebra and suppose every $x \in L$ is nilpotent. Then \exists a basis B so that $[x]_B \in \mathfrak{n}(n, F) \forall x \in L$. In particular, L is nilpotent.

Cor A Lie algebra is nilpotent iff only if every element of L is ad-nilpotent.

Next: Analyze Solvable subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, note Engel over any field.

Lie's Theorem Suppose V is n -dim \mathbb{C} vector space, $L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a solvable subalgebra. Then \exists a basis B of V so that $[x]_B \in \mathfrak{b}(n, \mathbb{C}) \forall x \in L$.

Proof For Engel we needed a $v \in V$ so $xv = 0 \forall x \in L$. Here we first need a $v \in V$ that is a simultaneous eigenvector for all $x \in L$.

Easy Case $L = \langle x \rangle$ one-dimensional, $F = \mathbb{C}$ so \exists an eigenvector $v \in V$.

Let $U = \langle v \rangle$, use induction on $\bar{x}: V/U \rightarrow V/U$ as before.

Prop Let V as above, $L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ solvable subalgebra. Then $\exists 0 \neq v \in V$ a simultaneous eigenvector for L .

Proof Induction on $\dim L$, base case above.

Since L is solvable, $L' \subsetneq L$. Choose a codimension one subspace $A \supseteq L'$, so

$$L = A \oplus \langle z \rangle \text{ as vector spaces, some } z \neq 0$$

Recall L/L' is abelian, so any subspace is an ideal, Thus A is an ideal.

$$([x, a] \in L' \subseteq A)$$

Thus A is a subalgebra of smaller dimension, so by induction \exists a nonzero weight space for $\lambda: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$0 \neq V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \lambda(a)v = av \quad \forall a \in A\}$$

By invariance lemma, V_λ is L -invariant, so $z: V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda$.

Over \mathbb{C} so choose $v \in V_\lambda$ with $zv = \mu v$.

Any $x \in L$ is of form $a + cz$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $xv = (a + cz)v$
 $= (\lambda(a) + c\mu)v$

so v is the common eigenvector. //

Rank Lie's Thm holds over any alg closed field of characteristic 0.

Assume $F = \bar{F}$ char 0

Cor 1 Suppose L is solvable. Then \exists a chain of ideals
 $0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_n = L$ with $\dim L_i = i$.

Proof Consider $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$. Then $\text{ad}(L)$ is solvable. By Lie,
 \exists a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of L so every $\text{ad} x$ is upper Δ .

Let $L_i = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ //

Cor 2 Suppose L is solvable. Then $L^{(1)} = [L, L]$ is nilpotent.

Proof By Cor 1 \exists a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of L so each
 $\text{ad} x \in \mathfrak{b}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The matrices of $\text{ad}([L, L]) = [\text{ad} L, \text{ad} L]$
lie in $\mathfrak{n}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Thus every elt of $[L, L]$ is ad-nilpotent,
so by Engel, $[L, L]$ is nilpotent.

Representation Theory

Problem Given a Lie algebra L , in what ways can we express L (or maybe L/I) as a subalgebra of a matrix algebra?

Def Let L be a Lie algebra / F . A representation of L is a Lie algebra homomorphism:

$$\psi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$$

Rank $L/\ker \psi \cong \psi(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$

Def ψ is faithful if $\ker \psi = 0$. In this case $L \cong \psi(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Rank If $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$, say ψ is a matrix representation.

Examples

1. Most important: adjoint representation $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$
This is faithful if & only if $Z(L) = 0$.

2. Suppose $L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Inclusion map $L \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ called the natural representation

Ex $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ $e \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $f \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $h \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
2-dimensional nat. rep.

Ex $ad: \mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$

$[e, e] = 0, [e, f] = 0e + 0f + h \quad [e, h] = -2e + 0f + 0h$

$e \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$[f, e] = 0e + 0f - h \quad [f, f] = 0 \quad [f, h] = 2f$

$f \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$[h, e] = 2e \quad [h, f] = -2f \quad [h, h] = 0$

$h \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

3 dimensional representation.

Ex Trivial representation $\psi(x) = (0) \quad \forall x \in L.$

Ex $\mathbb{R}_\Lambda^3 = \langle i, j, k \mid [i, j] = k, [j, k] = i, [k, i] = j \rangle$

adjoint rep $i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad j \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$k \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Ex Ex 1.15, $\mathbb{R}_\Lambda^3 \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

Modules

Suppose $\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a repr. For $x \in L, v \in V$
let x act on V by

$$x \cdot v = \varphi(x)(v).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 * (\lambda x + \mu y) \cdot v &= \lambda x \cdot v + \mu y \cdot v \\
 x(\lambda v + \mu w) &= \lambda x \cdot v + \mu x \cdot w
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x, y] \cdot v &= \varphi([x, y])(v) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)](v) \\
 &= \varphi(x)\varphi(y)v - \varphi(y)\varphi(x)v \\
 &= x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v)
 \end{aligned}$$

Say V is an L -module.

Think

Linear action of L on V such that
 $[x, y] \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v)$