

(101)

Homology of product spaces

Problem: X, Y -spaces

$$H_q(X \times Y) = ?$$

Def: Let C_*, D_* - chain complex. The tensor product $C_* \otimes D_*$ is a chain complex given as follows:

$$(C_* \otimes D_*)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_p \otimes D_q$$

If $c \otimes d \in C_p \otimes D_q = (C_* \otimes D_*)_n$ then
then $\partial(c \otimes d) = \partial c \otimes d + (-1)^p c \otimes \partial d \in (C_* \otimes D_*)_{n-1}$

Note: If $f_*: C_* \rightarrow C'_*$, $g_*: D_* \rightarrow D'_*$ - chain maps
then we have a chain map:

$$f_* \otimes g_*: C_* \otimes D_* \rightarrow C'_* \otimes D'_*$$

$c \otimes d \mapsto f_*(c) \otimes g_*(d)$

Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem:

For any spaces X, Y there exists a natural chain homotopy equivalence:

$$\Phi_{X,Y}: C_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$$

This gives natural isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{X,Y}: H_n(X \times Y) \rightarrow H_n(C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y))$$

Proof:

Use the method of acyclic models.



Note: $\Phi_{X,Y}: C_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$ can be explicitly defined as follows.

For $p \leq n$ define:

$$\lambda_p: \Delta^p \rightarrow \Delta^n$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_0 & \mapsto & e_0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_p & \mapsto & e_p \end{array}$$

$$\rho_p: \Delta^p \rightarrow \Delta^n$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_0 & \mapsto & e_{n-p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_p & \mapsto & e_n \end{array}$$

For $\tau: \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ we will say that

$\tau \lambda_p: \Delta^p \rightarrow X$ is the p-th front face of τ

$\tau \rho_p: \Delta^p \rightarrow X$ is the p-th back face of τ

For $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X \times Y$ let

$$\sigma_x: \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} X \times Y \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} X$$

$$\sigma_y: \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} X \times Y \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Y} Y$$

We define:

$$\Phi_{X,Y}: C_n(X \times Y) \longrightarrow (C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y))_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_p(X) \otimes C_q(Y)$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sum_{p+q=n} \sigma_x \lambda_p \otimes \sigma_y \rho_q$$

Next: C_n, D_n - chain complexes
 $H_q(C_n \otimes D_n) = ?$

Proposition

For any chain complexes C_n, D_n and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$
 there is a well defined homomorphism

$$\alpha: H_p(C_n) \otimes H_q(D_n) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(C_n \otimes D_n)$$

$$[x] \otimes [y] \longmapsto [x \otimes y]$$

Proof:

It is enough to show that we have a well defined
 bilinear map

$$\bar{\alpha}: H_p(C_n) \times H_q(D_n) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(C_n \otimes D_n)$$

$$([x], [y]) \longmapsto [x \otimes y]$$

1) Note that if $x \in Z_p(C_n), y \in Z_q(D_n)$ then
 $x \otimes y \in Z_{p+q}(C_n \otimes D_n)$. This gives a well defined
 bilinear map

$$\bar{\alpha}: Z_p(C_n) \times Z_q(D_n) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(C_n \otimes D_n)$$

$$(x, y) \longmapsto [x \otimes y]$$

2) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(x + \partial b, y + \partial d) &= [(x + \partial b) \otimes (y + \partial d)] \\ &= [x \otimes y + x \otimes \partial d + \partial b \otimes y + \partial b \otimes \partial d] \\ &= [x \otimes y + (-1)^p \partial(x \otimes d) + \partial(b \otimes y) + \partial(b \otimes \partial d)] \\ &= [x \otimes y] \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\bar{\alpha}(x, y)$ depends only on the
 homology classes of x and y , and so $\bar{\alpha}$ is well
 defined. ▣

Theorem: (Künneth Formula)

If C_* - chain complex of free abelian groups

D_* - any chain complex of abelian groups

then there is a split short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(C_*) \otimes H_q(D_*) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_n(C_* \otimes D_*) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_p(C_*), H_q(D_*)) \rightarrow 0$$

$[x] \otimes [y] \longmapsto [x \otimes y]$

Proof: Similar to the Universal Coefficient Thm. ▣

Theorem:

For any spaces X, Y there is a split short exact sequence:

(*)
$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(X) \otimes H_q(Y) \rightarrow H_n(X \times Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_p(X), H_q(Y)) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof: Follows from the Eilenberg-Ziber Theorem and the Künneth Formula. ▣

Note: The short exact sequence (*) is natural but its splitting is not.

Example:

Recall:
$$H_q(S^n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & q=0, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $H_k(S^n)$ is torsion free for all k .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_q(X \times S^n) &\cong \bigoplus_{k+l=q} (H_k(X) \otimes H_l(S^n)) \oplus \bigoplus_{k+l=q-1} \text{Tor}(H_k(X), H_l(S^n)) \\
 &\cong H_q(X) \otimes H_0(S^n) \oplus H_{q-n}(X) \otimes H_n(S^n) \cong H_q(X) \oplus H_{q-n}(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ \rightarrow \parallel \end{matrix}$

(105)

Note: The Künneth Formula can be generalized:

Def: Let R -commutative ring with 1
 M - R -module

A presentation of M is a short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

where F_1, F_0 are free R -modules.

Proposition: If R is a PID then any R -module has a presentation.

Proof: Use the fact that if R -PID then submodules of free R -modules are free. \square

Def: Let R -PID, M - R -module with presentation
 $0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$. For an R -module N
we set:

$$\text{Tor}_R(M, N) := \text{Ker}(F_1 \otimes R \xrightarrow{d_1 \otimes \text{id}} F_0 \otimes R)$$

Proposition: $\text{Tor}_R(M, N)$ depends only on M, N and not on the choice of presentation of M .

(*) Note: If M -free R -module then M has presentation

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{id}} M \rightarrow 0$$

so $\text{Tor}_R(M, N) = 0$ for all N .

106

Theorem: (Künneth Formula)

Let R -PID, C_* - chain complex of free R -modules

D_* - chain complex of R -modules.

There exists a split short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(C_*) \otimes_R H_q(D_*) \rightarrow H_n(C_* \otimes_R D_*) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}_R(H_p(C_*), H_q(D_*)) \rightarrow 0$$

Corollary:

If X, Y -spaces, R -PID then there is a split short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(X; R) \otimes_R H_q(Y; R) \rightarrow H_n(X \times Y; R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}_R(H_p(X; R), H_q(Y; R)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note: If R -field then by Note (*) we get:

$\text{Tor}_R(H_p(X; R), H_q(Y; R)) = 0 \quad \forall p, q$ so we have:

$$H_n(X \times Y; R) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(X; R) \otimes_R H_q(Y; R)$$

Example:

Recall: $H_q(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \quad \forall q \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{This gives: } H_q(\mathbb{R}P^\infty \times \mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2) &\cong \bigoplus_{k+l=q} H_k(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} H_l(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{k+l=q} \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{aligned}$$