GARDEN OF EDEN AND SPECIFICATION

HANFENG LI

Abstract. We establish a Garden of Eden theorem for expansive algebraic actions of amenable groups with the weak specification property, i.e. for any continuous equivariant map T from the underlying space to itself, T is pre-injective if and only if it is surjective. In particular, this applies to all expansive principal algebraic actions of amenable groups and expansive algebraic actions of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with CPE.

1. Introduction

Let a countable discrete group Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously and let T be a Γ-equivariant continuous map $X \to X$. In this paper we consider the relation between the surjectivity of T and a weak form of injectivity of T.

In the case $X = A^\Gamma$ for some finite set A and Γ acts on A^Γ by shifts, T is called a cellular automaton [4] and can be thought of as an evolution determined by a local rule. In this case T is not surjective exactly when there is a $w \in A^F$ for some finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ such that w is not equal to the restriction of any element of $T(A^\Gamma)$ on F. Such a w is called a Garden of Eden (GOE) pattern, meaning that it could appear only in the first of the sequence $A^\Gamma, T(A^\Gamma), T^2(A^\Gamma), \dots$. A pair of mutually erasable patterns is a pair (w_1, w_2) of distinct elements of A^K for some finite set $K \subseteq \Gamma$ such that whenever x_1 and x_2 are elements of A^Γ coinciding on $\Gamma \setminus K$ and extending w_1 and w_2 respectively, one has $T(x_1) = T(x_2)$. In 1963, Moore [22] showed that when $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$, if there is a pair of mutually erasable patterns, then there is a GOE pattern. Soon afterwards Myhill [24] proved the converse. The results of Moore and Myhill were extended to finitely generated groups with subexponential growth by Machì and Mignosi [21], and then to all amenable groups by Ceccherini-Silberstein, Machì, and Scarabotti [10]. On the other hand, Bartholdi [1, 2] showed that the results of Moore and Myhill fail for every nonamenable group.

For general actions of Γ on a compact metrizable space X, one has the homoclinic equivalence relation defined on X (see Section 2.3) and T is called *pre-injective*

Date: January 5, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B05, 37B40, 37C29, 43A07, 22D45.

Key words and phrases. Garden of Eden theorem, Moore property, Myhill property, amenability, expansiveness, specification, algebraic action, completely positive entropy, homoclinicity, pre-injectivity, surjunctivity.

if it is injective on each homoclinic equivalence class [17]. For the shift action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A^\Gamma$ with finite A, the map T is not pre-injective exactly when there is a pair of mutually erasable patterns. Thus we say the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has *the Moore property* if surjectivity implies pre-injectivity for every Γ-equivariant continuous map $T : X \to X$, the action has *the Myhill property* if pre-injectivity implies surjectivity for every such T, and the action has the *Moore-Myhill property* if surjectivity is equivalent to pre-injectivity for every such T. There has been quite some work trying to establish these properties for various actions [5–8, 14, 15, 17]; see also the book [4] and the recent survey [9].

We say an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is *surjunctive* if injectivity implies surjectivity for every Γ-equivariant continuous map $T : X \to X$. Thus the Myhill property implies surjunctivity. Gottschalk's surjunctivity conjecture says that the shift action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A^\Gamma$ for finite A is surjunctive for every group Γ [16]. This was proved for sofic groups by Gromov [4, 17, 19, 29].

Specification plays a vital role in our work. It is a strong orbit tracing property introduced by Bowen for $\mathbb{Z}$-actions [3] and extended to $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions by Ruelle [26]. There are a few versions of the specification property [20, Definition 5.1] [11, Definition 6.1]. For our purpose, the weak specification property (see Section 2.1) suffices. Our result regarding the Myhill property is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Every expansive continuous action of a countable amenable group on a compact metrizable space with the weak specification property has the Myhill property. In particular, such actions are surjunctive.*

Theorem 1.1 strengthens a few known results and is new even for the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$. Fiorenzi [15, Corollary 4.8] proved Theorem 1.1 under the further assumption of subshifts of finite type. (Actually Fiorenzi stated her result for strongly irreducible subshifts of finite type, but strongly irreducible subshifts are exactly subshifts with the weak specification property, see Appendix A.) Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert proved Theorem 1.1 under the further assumption of subshifts [5, Theorem 1.1] and under the further assumption of a uniformly bounded-to-one factor of a weak specification subshift [6, Theorem 1.1].

Algebraic actions are actions of Γ on compact metrizable abelian groups by automorphisms. The algebraic actions of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ were studied extensively in 1990s, and the last decade has seen much progress towards understanding the algebraic actions of nonabelian groups; see [19, 27] and the references therein. Our result concerning the Moore property is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Every expansive algebraic action of a countable amenable group with completely positive entropy with respect to the normalized Haar measure has the Moore property.*

If an algebraic action of a countable amenable group has the weak specification property, then it has completely positive entropy with respect to the normalized

Haar measure (see Corollary 5.3). For expansive algebraic actions, the converse is also true in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ [20, Theorem 5.2], and is conjectured to hold for polycyclic-by-finite groups [11, Conjecture 6.5, Theorem 1.2, Corollary 8.4]. The principal algebraic actions are the actions of the form $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ for f in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ (see Section 2.2). By Lemma 2.1 every expansive principal algebraic action has the weak specification property. Thus combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we have

Theorem 1.3. *Every expansive algebraic action of a countable amenable group with the weak specification property has the Moore-Myhill property. In particular, every expansive principal algebraic action of a countable amenable group and every expansive algebraic action of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with completely positive entropy with respect to the normalized Haar measure have the Moore-Myhill property.*

Theorem 1.3 applies to the shift actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright A^\Gamma$ for finite A, since one can identify A^Γ with $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ for $f = |A|$. Previously, Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert established the Moore-Myhill property for hyperbolic toral automorphisms [7] and expansive principal algebraic actions of countable abelian groups with connected underlying space [8].

We remark that even for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, not every expansive action with the weak specification property has the Moore property. Indeed, Fiorenzi showed that the even shift in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of all elements with an even number of 0's between any two 1's does not have the Moore property [14].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some definitions. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. We study the implications of weak specification for combinatorial independence in Section 5. The equivalence of weak specification and strong irreducibility for subshifts is proved in Appendix A.

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by NSF and NSFC grants. He is grateful to Michel Coornaert for helpful discussion, and to Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein, David Kerr, Douglas Lind and Chung Nhân Phú for comments. He also thanks the referee for remarks.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some definitions and set up some notations. Throughout this paper Γ will be a countable discrete group with identity element e_Γ.

2.1. **Expansiveness and weak specification.** Let Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously, and let ρ be a compatible metric on X. The action is called *expansive* if there is some $\kappa > 0$ such that $\sup_{s\in\Gamma} \rho(sx, sy) > \kappa$ for all distinct $x, y \in X$. Such a κ is called an *expansive constant* with respect to ρ.

The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is said to have *the weak specification property* [11, Definition 6.1] if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a nonempty symmetric finite subset F of Γ such

that for any finite collection $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ of finite subsets of Γ satisfying

$$FF_i \cap F_j = \emptyset \text{ for all distinct } i, j \in J,$$

and any collection of points $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ in X, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that

$$\rho(sx, sx_j) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } j \in J, s \in F_j.$$

Using the compactness of X, it is easy to see that when the action has the weak specification property, one can actually allow J and F_j to be infinite. It is also easy to see that weak specification passes to factors.

2.2. **Group rings and algebraic actions.** We refer the reader to [19, 27] for detail on group rings and algebraic actions. The *integral group ring* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ of Γ is defined as the set of all finitely supported functions $\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$, written as $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s$ with $f_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ and $f_s = 0$ for all but finitely many s, with addition and multiplication given by

$$\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s + \sum_{s\in\Gamma} g_s s = \sum_{s\in\Gamma} (f_s + g_s)s,$$
$$(\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s)(\sum_{t\in\Gamma} g_t t) = \sum_{s,t\in\Gamma} f_s g_t (st).$$

The group algebra $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is the set of all functions $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} |f_s| < +\infty$, with addition and multiplication defined in the same way.

An action of Γ on a compact metrizable abelian group by (continuous) automorphisms is called *an algebraic action*. Up to isomorphism, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between algebraic actions of Γ and countable left $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules as follows. For any algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the Pontrjagin dual $\widehat{X}$ consisting of all continuous group homomorphisms $X \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a countable abelian group and the action of Γ on X induces an action of Γ on $\widehat{X}$ which makes $\widehat{X}$ into a left $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module. Conversely, for any countable left $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$, the Pontrjagin dual $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all group homomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ under the pointwise convergence topology forms a compact metrizable abelian group and the $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module structure of $\mathcal{M}$ gives rise to an action of Γ on $\mathcal{M}$ which induces an action of Γ on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ in turn.

For each $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, the associated algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ is called a *principal algebraic action*.

Lemma 2.1. *Every expansive principal algebraic action has the weak specification property.*

Proof. For any $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, the principal algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ is expansive exactly when f is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ [13, Theorem 3.2]. If $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, then the principal algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ has the weak specification property [25, Theorem 1.2]. □

2.3. **Homoclinic pairs.** Let Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously, and let ρ be a compatible metric on X. We say a pair $(x, y) \in X^2$ is *homoclinic* or *asymptotic* if $\rho(sx, sy) \to 0$ as $\Gamma \ni s \to \infty$. The set of all homoclinic pairs is an equivalence relation on X, and does not depend on the choice of ρ. A map from X to another space is called *pre-injective* if it is injective on every homoclinic equivalence class.

Now assume that $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is an algebraic action. We can always choose ρ to be translation-invariant. It follows that the homoclinic equivalence class of the identity element 0_X is a Γ-invariant subgroup of X, which we shall denote by $\Delta(X)$. Furthermore, for any $x \in X$, its homoclinic equivalence class is exactly $x + \Delta(X)$. The following lemma will be crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be an expansive algebraic action. Then there is a translation-invariant compatible metric ρ on X such that $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} \rho(sx, 0_X) < +\infty$ for all $x \in \Delta(X)$.*

Proof. Since the algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is expansive, by [11, Theorem 5.6] [19, Theorem 13.31] we have $\Delta(X) = \Delta^1(X)$, where $\Delta^1(X)$ is the 1-homoclinic group of X defined in [11, Definition 5.1] [19, Definition 13.26].

Again using the expansiveness of the algebraic action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, by [27, Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.16] or [19, Lemma 13.6] we know that $\widehat{X}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module. Then by [11, Proposition 5.7] [19, Lemma 13.33] there is a translation-invariant compatible metric ρ on X such that $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} \rho(sx, 0_X) < +\infty$ for all $x \in \Delta^1(X)$. Consequently, $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} \rho(sx, 0_X) < +\infty$ for all $x \in \Delta(X)$. □

2.4. **Amenable groups and entropy.** We refer the reader to [4, 19, 23] for details on amenable groups and the entropy theory of their actions. A countable group Γ is called *amenable* if it has a left Følner sequence, i.e. a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonempty finite subsets of Γ satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|KF_n \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0$$

for all nonempty finite subsets K of Γ.

Let Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously. For a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, we denote by $N(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal number of elements of $\mathcal{U}$ needed to cover X. Then the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log N(\bigvee_{s\in F_n} s^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ exists and does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We denote this limit by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{U})$. The *topological entropy of the action* $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) := \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{U}),$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ runs over all finite open covers of X.

Let ρ be a compatible metric on X, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. A set $Z \subseteq X$ is called (ρ, ε)-*separated* if $\rho(x, z) \geq \varepsilon$ for all distinct $x, z \in Z$. Denote by $\mathrm{sep}(X, \rho, \varepsilon)$ the maximal

cardinality of (ρ,ε)-separated subsets of X. A set $Z\subseteq X$ is called (ρ,ε)-*spanning* if for any $x\in X$ there exists some $z\in Z$ with $\rho(x,z)<\varepsilon$. Denote by $\mathrm{span}(X,\rho,\varepsilon)$ the minimal cardinality of (ρ,ε)-spanning subsets of X. For any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, we define a new metric ρ_F on X by $\rho_F(x,y)=\max_{s\in F}\rho(sx,sy)$.

The case $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}$ of the following lemma is [28, Theorem 7.11], whose proof extends to amenable group case easily.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright X$ is expansive, and let κ be an expansive constant with respect to a compatible metric ρ on X. Then the following holds.*

1. *For any finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X such that each item of $\mathcal{U}$ has ρ-diameter at most κ, one has $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{U})$.*
2. *For any $0<\varepsilon<\kappa/4$, one has*

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log\mathrm{sep}(X,\rho_{F_n},\varepsilon)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log\mathrm{span}(X,\rho_{F_n},\varepsilon).$$

Let μ be a Γ-invariant Borel probability measure on X. For each finite Borel partition $\mathcal{P}$ of X, one defines the Shannon entropy

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P})=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}}-\mu(P)\log\mu(P),$$

where the convention is $0\log 0=0$, and the dynamical entropy

$$h_\mu(\mathcal{P})=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}H_\mu(\bigvee_{s\in F_n}s^{-1}\mathcal{P}).$$

The *measure entropy of the action* $\Gamma\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is defined as

$$h_\mu(X):=\sup_{\mathcal{P}}h_\mu(\mathcal{P})$$

for $\mathcal{P}$ ranging over all finite Borel partitions of X. The action $\Gamma\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ is said to have *completely positive entropy* (CPE) if $h_\mu(\mathcal{P})>0$ for every finite Borel partition $\mathcal{P}$ of X with $H_\mu(\mathcal{P})>0$.

The variational principle says that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)=\sup_\mu h_\mu(X)$ for μ ranging over all Γ-invariant Borel probability measures of X.

3. Myhill property

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Throughout this section, we let a countable amenable group Γ act on compact metrizable spaces X and Y continuously, and fix a left Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for Γ.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright Y$ is expansive and has the weak specification property. For any nonempty closed Γ-invariant subset Z of Y with $Z\neq Y$, we have $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Z)<\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$.*

Proof. Take a compatible metric ρ on Y. Let $\kappa > 0$ be an expansive constant of $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ with respect to ρ. Take $y_0 \in Y \setminus Z$ and set $\eta = \min(\kappa/10, \rho(y_0, Z)) > 0$. By the weak specification property, there exists a symmetric finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ containing e_Γ such that for any finite collection of finite subsets $\{K_j\}_{j\in J}$ of Γ satisfying $FK_i \cap K_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in J$ and any collection $\{y_j\}_{j\in J}$ of points in Y, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\rho(sy, sy_j) \leq \eta/4$ for all $j \in J$ and $s \in K_j$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take a maximal set $K_n \subseteq F_n$ subject to the condition that for any distinct $s, t \in K_n$, one has $s \notin Ft$. Then $FK_n \supseteq F_n$, and hence

$$|K_n| \geq |F_n|/|F|.$$

Let $A \subseteq K_n$. Take a $(\rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)$-spanning subset $W_{F_n\setminus(FA)}$ of Z with cardinality $\mathrm{span}(Z, \rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)$, and for each $s \in A$ take a (ρ_{Fs}, η)-spanning subset W_{Fs} of Z with cardinality $\mathrm{span}(Z, \rho_{Fs}, \eta) = \mathrm{span}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)$. For each $z \in Z$, we can take $z_{F_n\setminus(FA)} \in W_{F_n\setminus(FA)}$ with $\rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}(z, z_{F_n\setminus(FA)}) < \eta$ and $z_{Fs} \in W_{Fs}$ with $\rho_{Fs}(z, z_{Fs}) < \eta$ for each $s \in A$. For any $z, z' \in Z$, if $z_{F_n\setminus(FA)} = z'_{F_n\setminus(FA)}$ and $z_{Fs} = z'_{Fs}$ for all $s \in A$, then $\rho_{F_n}(z, z') < 2\eta$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n}, 2\eta) &\leq |W_{F_n\setminus(FA)}| \cdot \prod_{s\in A} |W_{Fs}| \\ &= \mathrm{span}(Z, \rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)\mathrm{span}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{|A|} \\ &\leq \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)\mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{|A|}.\end{aligned}$$

Take a $(\rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)$-separated subset Ω_A of Z with maximal cardinality. For each $\omega \in \Omega_A$, take $\omega_A \in Y$ such that $\rho(s\omega_A, y_0) \leq \eta/4$ for all $s \in A$ and $\rho(t\omega_A, t\omega) \leq \eta/4$ for all $t \in F_n \setminus (FA)$. For any distinct $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega_A$, we have $\rho(t\omega, t\omega') \geq \eta$ for some $t \in F_n \setminus (FA)$, and hence

$$\rho(t\omega_A, t\omega'_A) \geq \rho(t\omega, t\omega') - \rho(t\omega, t\omega_A) - \rho(t\omega', t\omega'_A) \geq \eta - \eta/4 - \eta/4 = \eta/2.$$

For any distinct $A, B \subseteq K_n$ and any $\omega \in \Omega_A, \omega' \in \Omega_B$, say $s \in A \setminus B$, we have

$$\rho(s\omega_A, s\omega'_B) \geq \rho(y_0, s\omega') - \rho(s\omega_A, y_0) - \rho(s\omega'_B, s\omega') \geq \eta - \eta/4 - \eta/4 = \eta/2.$$

Thus the set $\{\omega_A : A \subseteq K_n, \omega \in \Omega_A\}$ is a $(\rho_{F_n}, \eta/2)$-separated subset of Y with cardinality $\sum_{A\subseteq K_n} \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sep}(Y, \rho_{F_n}, \eta/2) &\geq \sum_{A\subseteq K_n} \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n\setminus(FA)}, \eta) \\ &\geq \sum_{A\subseteq K_n} \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n}, 2\eta)\mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{-|A|} \\ &= \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n}, 2\eta)(1 + \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{-1})^{|K_n|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{sep}(Y, \rho_{F_n}, \eta/2)$$

$$\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_{F_n}, 2\eta) + \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log(1 + \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{-1})^{|K_n|}$$
$$\geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Z) + \frac{1}{|F|} \log(1 + \mathrm{sep}(Z, \rho_F, \eta)^{-1})$$
$$> \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Z)$$

as desired. □

Proposition 3.1 was proved before under the further assumption that Y is a subshift of finite type by Fiorenzi in the proof of [15, Proposition 4.6], and under the further assumption that Y is a subshift by Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert [5, Proposition 4.2].

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is expansive and has the weak specification property. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ be a factor of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ such that $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ is expansive and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) < \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$. Then for any homoclinic equivalence class Ξ of X, the factor map $T : X \to Y$ fails to be injective on Ξ.*

Proof. Take compatible metrics ρ_X and ρ_Y on X and Y respectively. Take a common expansive constant $\kappa > 0$ for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ with respect to ρ_X and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ with respect to ρ_Y. Write $\varepsilon = \kappa/15$. As T is continuous and X is compact, there exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that for any $x, x' \in X$ with $\rho_X(x, x') \leq \delta$ one has $\rho_Y(Tx, Tx') \leq \varepsilon$.

Fix a point $z \in \Xi$. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has the weak specification property, there exists a symmetric finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ containing e_Γ such that for any $x \in X$ and any finite set $K \subseteq \Gamma$ there exists $x' \in X$ with $\max_{s\in K} \rho_X(sx', sx) \leq \delta$ and $\sup_{s\in\Gamma\setminus(FK)} \rho_X(sx', sz) \leq \delta$. Set $C = \mathrm{span}(Y, \rho_Y, \varepsilon)$.

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{sep}(X, \rho_{X,F_n}, 3\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{span}(Y, \rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon).$$

Take $\eta > 0$ with $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) > 3\eta + \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$. When n is large enough, we have $\mathrm{span}(Y, \rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon) \leq e^{|F_n|(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)+\eta)}$ and

$$\mathrm{sep}(X, \rho_{X,F_n}, 3\varepsilon) \geq e^{|F_n|(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)-\eta)} \geq e^{\eta|F_n|}\mathrm{span}(Y, \rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon).$$

Let Ω_n be a $(\rho_{X,F_n}, 3\varepsilon)$-separated subset of X with maximum cardinality, and Λ_n be a $(\rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon)$-spanning subset of Y with minimal cardinality. Then there exist a set $\Omega'_n \subseteq \Omega_n$ with $|\Omega'_n| \geq e^{\eta|F_n|}$ and $y \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\max_{s\in F_n} \rho_Y(sTx, sy) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \Omega'_n$. For each $x \in \Omega'_n$, take $x' \in X$ with $\max_{s\in F_n} \rho_X(sx', sx) \leq \delta < \varepsilon$ and

$\sup_{s\in\Gamma\setminus(FF_n)}\rho_X(sx',sz)\le\delta$. Then $\sup_{s\in\Gamma\setminus(FF_n)}\rho_X(sx',sz)\le\kappa$, and hence by [11, Lemma 6.2] the pair (x',z) is homoclinic. Thus $x'\in\Xi$. By our choice of δ, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_Y(sTx',sy)&\le\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_Y(sTx',sTx)+\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_Y(sTx,sy)\\&\le\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_Y(T(sx'),T(sx))+\varepsilon\\&\le\varepsilon+\varepsilon=2\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{s\in\Gamma\setminus(FF_n)}\rho_Y(sTx',sTz)=\sup_{s\in\Gamma\setminus(FF_n)}\rho_Y(T(sx'),T(sz))\le\varepsilon.$$

Now take a set $\Omega_n''\subseteq\Omega_n'$ with $|\Omega_n''|\ge|\Omega_n'|/C^{|FF_n\setminus F_n|}$ such that for any $x,\omega\in\Omega_n''$ we have $\max_{s\in FF_n\setminus F_n}\rho_Y(sTx',sT\omega')<2\varepsilon$. Then for any $x,\omega\in\Omega_n''$ we have $\sup_{s\in\Gamma}\rho_Y(sTx',sT\omega')\le4\varepsilon$, whence $Tx'=T\omega'$. If $x\ne\omega$, then

$$\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_X(sx',s\omega')\ge\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_X(sx,s\omega)-\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_X(sx,sx')-\max_{s\in F_n}\rho_X(s\omega,s\omega')>\varepsilon,$$

and hence $x'\ne\omega'$. When n is large enough, we have $C^{|FF_n\setminus F_n|}<e^{\eta|F_n|}$, and hence $|\Omega_n''|>1$. □

Proposition 3.2 was proved before under the further assumption that X is a subshift of finite type and Y is a subshift by Fiorenzi [15, Proposition 4.5], and under the further assumption that X and Y are subshifts by Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert [5, Theorem 5.1].

From Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Gamma\curvearrowright X$ and $\Gamma\curvearrowright Y$ be expansive actions with the weak specification property. Assume that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$. Then every pre-injective continuous Γ-equivariant map $X\to Y$ is surjective.*

Theorem 3.3 was proved before under the further assumption that X is a subshift of finite type and Y is a subshift by Fiorenzi [15, Theorem 4.7], and under the further assumption that X and Y are subshifts by Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert [5, Corollary 5.2].

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 3.3 directly.

4. Moore Property

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section Γ will be a countable amenable group and $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ will be a left Følner sequence of Γ.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ act on compact metrizable groups X and Y by automorphisms. Denote by μ_X and μ_Y the normalized Haar measure of X and Y respectively. Suppose that the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright(X,\mu_X)$ has CPE. Also assume that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)<+\infty$. Let $T:X\to Y$ be a Γ-equivariant continuous surjective map. Then $T_*\mu_X=\mu_Y$.*

Proof. Since Γ is amenable and T is surjective, there is a Γ-invariant Borel probability measure ν on X satisfying $T_*\nu = \mu_Y$. Then

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \geq h_\nu(X) \geq h_{\mu_Y}(Y) = \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y),$$

where the equality is from [12, Theorem 2.2] [19, Proposition 13.2]. Thus $h_\nu(X) = \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ has CPE and $h_{\mu_X}(X) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) < +\infty$, by [11, Theorem 8.6] we have $h_{\nu'}(X) < h_{\mu_X}(X)$ for every Γ-invariant Borel probability measure ν' on X different from μ_X. Therefore $\nu = \mu_X$. Thus $T_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be an expansive algebraic action with CPE with respect to the normalized Haar measure and $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ be an expansive action on a compact metrizable group by automorphisms. Assume that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) = \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$. Then every surjective continuous Γ-equivariant map $T : X \to Y$ is pre-injective.*

Proof. When Γ is finite, we have $|X| = |\Gamma|\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) = |\Gamma|\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) = |Y| < +\infty$. Then T is actually injective. Thus we may assume that Γ is infinite.

Assume that T is not pre-injective. Then there is a homoclinic pair $(x, \omega) \in X^2$ such that $x \neq \omega$ and $Tx = T\omega$. We get $\omega - x \in \Delta(X)$.

By Lemma 2.2 we can find a compatible translation-invariant metric ρ_X on X such that $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} \rho_X(sx', 0_X) < +\infty$ for all $x' \in \Delta(X)$. We also take a compatible translation-invariant metric ρ_Y on Y.

Denote by μ_X and μ_Y the normalized Haar measure of X and Y respectively.

Take a common expansive constant $\kappa > 0$ for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ with respect to ρ_X and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ with respect to ρ_Y. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \kappa/4$. By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{sep}(Y, \rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon).$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by D_n the set of $y \in Y$ satisfying $\max_{s\in F_n} \rho_Y(sy, e_Y) < \varepsilon/2$, where e_Y denotes the identity element of Y, and take a $(\rho_{Y,F_n}, \varepsilon)$-separated subset W_n of Y with maximal cardinality. For any distinct $y, z \in W_n$, one has $(yD_n) \cap (zD_n) = \emptyset$. Thus $\mu_Y(D_n)|W_n| \leq 1$, and hence

$$1/\mu_Y(D_n) \geq |W_n|.$$

Since T is continuous and X is compact, we can find $0 < \delta < \min(\kappa/4, \rho_X(\omega - x, 0_X)/2)$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $\rho_X(x_1, x_2) \leq 2\delta$, one has $\rho_Y(Tx_1, Tx_2) < \varepsilon/16$. Since $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} \rho_X(s(\omega - x), 0_X) < +\infty$, we can find a symmetric finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ containing e_Γ such that

$$\sum_{s\in\Gamma\setminus F} \rho_X(s(\omega - x), 0_X) < \delta.$$

Take $0 < \tau < \delta$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $\rho_X(x_1, x_2) \leq \tau$, one has $\max_{s\in F} \rho_X(sx_1, sx_2) \leq \delta$.

Denote by B the set of all $x' \in X$ satisfying $\rho_X(x', x) \leq \tau$. Then $\mu_X(B) > 0$. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ has CPE, μ_X is ergodic. By the mean ergodic theorem

[19, Theorem 4.22], we have $\|\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{s\in F_n} s^{-1}1_B - \mu_X(B)\|_2 \to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, where 1_B denotes the characteristic function of B. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, denote by X_n the set of $x'\in X$ satisfying $|\{s\in F_n : sx'\in B\}| \geq |F_n|\mu_X(B)/2$. Then X_n is closed and $\mu_X(X_n)\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$.

Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is expansive, by Lemma 2.3 we have $\mathrm{h_{top}}(X) < +\infty$. By Lemma 4.1 we have $T_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$. Then $\mu_Y(T(X_n)) \geq \mu_X(X_n)$, and hence $\mu_Y(T(X_n))\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$. Take a maximal $(\rho_{Y,F_n},\varepsilon/2)$-separated subset W_n' of $T(X_n)$. Then yD_n for $y\in W_n'$ covers $T(X_n)$. Thus $\mu_Y(T(X_n)) \leq \mu_Y(D_n)|W_n'|$, whence

$$|W_n'| \geq \mu_Y(T(X_n))/\mu_Y(D_n) \geq \mu_Y(T(X_n))|W_n|.$$

Take a subset V_n of X_n with $|V_n| = |W_n'|$ and $T(V_n) = W_n'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|V_n| &= \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|W_n'| \\ &\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log\mu_Y(T(X_n)) + \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|W_n| \\ &= \mathrm{h_{top}}(Y).\end{aligned}$$

For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $v\in V_n$, write $E_{n,v} = \{s\in F_n : sv\in B\}$ and take a maximal set $E_{n,v}'\subseteq E_{n,v}$ subject to the condition $Ft\cap Fs = \emptyset$ for all distinct $s,t\in E_{n,v}'$. Then $F^2E_{n,v}'\supseteq E_{n,v}$, and hence

$$|E_{n,v}'| \geq |E_{n,v}|/|F|^2 \geq |F_n|\mu_X(B)/(2|F|^2).$$

For each set $A\subseteq E_{n,v}'$, define

$$v_A = v + \sum_{s\in A} s^{-1}(\omega - x) \in X.$$

We claim that $Tv_A = Tv$. It suffices to show $\rho_Y(tTv_A, tTv) = \rho_Y(T(tv_A),T(tv)) < \varepsilon/8$ for all $t\in\Gamma$. Let $t\in\Gamma$. If $t\notin FA$, then

$$\rho_X(tv_A,tv) = \rho_X(\sum_{s\in A} ts^{-1}(\omega-x), 0_X) \leq \sum_{s\in\Gamma\setminus F}\rho_X(s(\omega-x),0_X) < \delta,$$

and hence $\rho_Y(T(tv_A),T(tv)) < \varepsilon/16$. Now consider the case $t\in FA$. Say $t=\gamma s'$ for some $\gamma\in F$ and $s'\in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_X(tv_A,\gamma\omega) &= \rho_X(\gamma s'v + \sum_{s\in A\setminus\{s'\}} ts^{-1}(\omega-x),\gamma x) \\ &\leq \rho_X(\gamma s'v,\gamma x) + \sum_{s\in\Gamma\setminus F}\rho_X(s(\omega-x),0_X) < 2\delta,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_X(tv,\gamma x) = \rho_X(\gamma s'v,\gamma x) \leq \delta.$$

Therefore

$$\rho_Y(T(tv_A),\gamma Tx) = \rho_Y(T(tv_A),T(\gamma\omega)) < \varepsilon/16,$$

and

$$\rho_Y(T(tv), \gamma Tx) = \rho_Y(T(tv), T(\gamma x)) < \varepsilon/16.$$

Consequently,

$$\rho_Y(T(tv_A), T(tv)) \leq \rho_Y(T(tv_A), \gamma Tx) + \rho_Y(T(tv), \gamma Tx) < \varepsilon/8.$$

This proves our claim.

Write $V_n^\dagger := \{v_A : v \in V_n, A \subseteq E'_{n,v}\}$. For any $v \in V_n$ and distinct $A, A' \subseteq E'_{n,v}$, say $t \in A \setminus A'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_X(tv_A, tv_{A'}) &\geq \rho_X(\omega - x, 0_X) - \sum_{s \in (A \setminus \{t\}) \Delta A'} \rho_X(ts^{-1}(\omega - x), 0_X) \\ &\geq \rho_X(\omega - x, 0_X) - \sum_{s \in \Gamma \setminus F} \rho_X(s(\omega - x), 0_X) \\ &\geq \delta.\end{aligned}$$

For any distinct $v, z \in V_n$, and $A \subseteq E'_{n,v}$ and $A' \subseteq E'_{n,z}$, we have

$$\max_{s \in F_n} \rho_Y(Tsv_A, Tsz_{A'}) = \max_{s \in F_n} \rho_Y(sTv_A, sTz_{A'}) = \max_{s \in F_n} \rho_Y(sTv, sTz) \geq \varepsilon/2,$$

whence $\max_{s \in F_n} \rho_X(sv_A, sz_{A'}) > 2\delta$. Thus $V_n^\dagger$ is (ρ_{X,F_n}, δ)-separated, and

$$|V_n^\dagger| \geq |V_n| 2^{|F_n| \mu_X(B)/(2|F|^2)}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log \mathrm{sep}(X, \rho_{X,F_n}, \delta) \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |V_n| + \frac{\mu_X(B) \log 2}{2|F|^2} \\ &\geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y) + \frac{\mu_X(B) \log 2}{2|F|^2},\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the hypothesis $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) = \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(Y)$. Thus T is pre-injective. □

Now Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 4.2 directly.

5. Weak specification and independence

In this section we discuss implications of weak specification to combinatorial independence and prove Corollary 5.3.

Let a countable (not necessarily amenable) group Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_k)$ be a tuple of subsets of X. A nonempty finite set $K' \subseteq \Gamma$ is called *an independence set* for $\mathbf{A}$ if $\bigcap_{s \in K'} s^{-1} A_{\omega(s)} \neq \emptyset$ for all maps $\omega : K' \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ [19, Definition 8.7]. For any nonempty finite set $K \subseteq \Gamma$

write $\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(K)$ for the maximal cardinality of independence sets K' of $\mathbf{A}$ satisfying $K' \subseteq K$. The *independence density* of $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as

$$I(\mathbf{A}) := \inf_K \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{A}}(K)}{|K|},$$

where K ranges over all nonempty finite subsets of Γ [18, Definition 3.1]. A tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ is called *an orbit IE-tuple* if for every product neighborhood $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_k$ of $\mathbf{x}$, the tuple $(U_1, \dots, U_k)$ has positive independence density [18, Definition 3.2].

Proposition 5.1. *For any action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ with the weak specification property, every tuple is an orbit IE-tuple.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$. We shall show that $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ is an orbit IE-tuple.

Let ρ be a compatible metric on X, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by D_i the set of all $x \in X$ satisfying $\rho(x, x_i) \leq \varepsilon$. By the weak specification property there is some symmetric finite subset F of Γ containing e_Γ such that for any finite collection $\{F_j\}_{j \in J}$ of finite subsets of Γ satisfying $FF_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in J$ and any collection $\{y_j\}_{j \in J}$ of points in X, there is some $y \in X$ such that $\rho(y, sy_j) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j \in J$ and $s \in F_j$.

Let K be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. Take a maximal subset K' of K subject to the condition that $s \notin Ft$ for all distinct $s, t \in K'$. Then $FK' \supseteq K$, and hence $|K'| \geq |K|/|F|$. Let ω be a map $K' \to \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then there is some $x \in X$ such that $\rho(sx, x_{\omega(s)}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s \in K'$. Thus K' is an independence set for the tuple $(D_1, \dots, D_k)$. It follows that $(D_1, \dots, D_k)$ has independence density at least $1/|F|$. Therefore $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is an orbit IE-tuple. □

Now we consider the case Γ is amenable. An action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has positive entropy if and only if there is at least one non-diagonal orbit IE-pair in X^2 [19, Definition 12.5, Theorem 12.19]. Thus we get

Corollary 5.2. *For any countable amenable group, every continuous action on a compact metrizable space with the weak specification property and more than one point has positive entropy.*

Corollary 5.2 was proved before under the further assumption of subshifts by Ceccherini-Silberstein and Coornaert [5, Proposition 4.5].

For any action of a countable amenable group Γ on a compact metrizable group X by automorphisms, every pair in X^2 is an orbit IE-pair if and only if the action has CPE with respect to the normalized Haar measure [19, Definition 12.5] [11, Theorem 7.3, Corollary 8.4]. Thus we get

Corollary 5.3. *Every weak specification action of a countable amenable group on a compact metrizable group by automorphisms has CPE with respect to the normalized Haar measure.*

Appendix A. Subshifts with weak specification

Let Γ be a countable (not necessarily amenable) group, and let A be a finite set. We consider the shift action $\Gamma \curvearrowright A^\Gamma$ given by $(sx)_t = x_{s^{-1}t}$ for all $x \in A^G$ and $s, t \in \Gamma$. A closed Γ-invariant subset X of A^Γ is called *strongly irreducible* [15, Definition 4.1] if there exists a nonempty symmetric finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ such that for any finite sets $F_1, F_2 \subseteq \Gamma$ with $F_1 F \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x = x_1$ on F_1 and $x = x_2$ on F_2.

Proposition A.1. *For any closed Γ-invariant subset X of A^Γ, X is strongly irreducible if and only if it has the weak specification property.*

Proof. Take a compatible metric ρ of X.

Suppose that X is strongly irreducible. Let $F \subseteq \Gamma$ witness the strong irreducibility of X. By induction it is easy to see that for any finite collection $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ of finite subsets of Γ satisfying $F_i F \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in J$ and any collection $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ of points in X, there is some $x \in X$ such that $x = x_j$ on F_j for all $j \in J$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is some nonempty finite subset K of Γ such that for any $y, z \in X$ with $y = z$ on K one has $\rho(y, z) \leq \varepsilon$. Now let $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a finite collection of finite subsets of Γ satisfying $(KFK^{-1})F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in J$ and $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a collection of points in X. Then $(F_i^{-1}K)F \cap (F_j^{-1}K) = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in J$. Thus there is some $x \in X$ satisfying $x = x_j$ on $F_j^{-1}K$ for all $j \in J$. For any $j \in J$ and $s \in F_j$, we get $sx = sx_j$ on K, and hence $\rho(sx, sx_j) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore X has the weak specification property.

Conversely suppose that X has the weak specification property. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any two points $y, z \in X$ satisfying $\rho(y, z) \leq \varepsilon$ must coincide at e_Γ. Then there is some nonempty symmetric finite subset F of Γ such that for any finite subsets F_1 and F_2 of Γ satisfying $FF_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and any points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there is some $x \in X$ such that $\rho(sx, sx_j) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2$ and $s \in F_j$. Now let F_1 and F_2 be finite subsets of Γ satisfying $F_1 F \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Then $FF_1^{-1} \cap F_2^{-1} = \emptyset$. Thus there is some $x \in X$ such that $\rho(sx, sx_j) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j = 1, 2$ and $s \in F_j^{-1}$. Then $sx = sx_j$ at e_Γ, which means $x = x_j$ at s^{-1}. Therefore X is strongly irreducible. □

References

[1] L. Bartholdi. Gardens of Eden and amenability on cellular automata. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **12** (2010), no. 1, 241–248.

[2] L. Bartholdi. Amenability of groups is characterized by Myhill's Theorem, with an appendix by D. Kielak. arXiv:1605.09133. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* to appear.

[3] R. Bowen. Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971), 377–397.

[4] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. *Cellular Automata and Groups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[5] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. The Myhill property for strongly irreducible subshifts over amenable groups. *Monatsh. Math.* **165** (2012), no. 2, 155–172.

[6] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. Expansive actions of countable amenable groups, homoclinic pairs, and the Myhill property. *Illinois J. Math.* **59** (2015), no. 3, 597–621.
[7] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. A garden of Eden theorem for Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori. *Topology Appl.* **212** (2016), 49–56.
[8] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. A Garden of Eden theorem for principal algebraic actions. arXiv:1706.06548
[9] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. The Garden of Eden theorem: old and new. arXiv:1707.08898. To appear in: *Handbook of Group Actions*, L. Ji, A. Paradopoulos, and S.-T. Yau Eds., Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, International Press.
[10] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, A. Machì, and F. Scarabotti. Amenable groups and cellular automata. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 2, 673–685.
[11] N. Chung and H. Li. Homoclinic groups, IE groups, and expansive algebraic actions. *Invent. Math.* **199** (2015), no. 3, 805–858.
[12] C. Deninger. Fuglede-Kadison determinants and entropy for actions of discrete amenable groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (2006), 737–758.
[13] C. Deninger and K. Schmidt. Expansive algebraic actions of discrete residually finite amenable groups and their entropy. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **27** (2007), 769–786.
[14] F. Fiorenzi. The Garden of Eden theorem for sofic shifts. *Pure Math. Appl.* **11** (2000), no. 3, 471–484.
[15] F. Fiorenzi. Cellular automata and strongly irreducible shifts of finite type. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **299** (2003), no. 1-3, 477–493.
[16] W. Gottschalk. Some general dynamical notions. In: *Recent Advances in Topological Dynamics*, pp. 120–125. Lecture Notes in Math., 318, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
[17] M. Gromov. Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **1** (1999), no. 2, 109–197.
[18] D. Kerr and H. Li. Combinatorial independence and sofic entropy. *Commun. Math. Stat.* **1** (2013), no. 2, 213–257.
[19] D. Kerr and H. Li. *Ergodic Theory: Independence and Dichotomies*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2016.
[20] D. Lind and K. Schmidt. Homoclinic points of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), no. 4, 953–980.
[21] A. Machì and F. Mignosi. Garden of Eden configurations for cellular automata on Cayley graphs of groups. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **6** (1993), no. 1, 44–56.
[22] E. F. Moore. Machine models of self-reproduction. *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, Vol. **14**, pp. 17–34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1963.
[23] J. Moulin Ollagnier. *Ergodic Theory and Statistical Mechanics.* Lecture Notes in Math., 1115. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
[24] J. Myhill. The converse of Moore's Garden-of-Eden theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963), 685–686.
[25] X. Ren. Periodic measures are dense in invariant measures for residually finite amenable group actions with specification. arXiv:1509.09202. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* to appear.
[26] D. Ruelle. Statistical mechanics on a compact set with Z^v action satisfying expansiveness and specification. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **187** (1973), 237–251.
[27] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin.* Progress in Mathematics, 128. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
[28] P. Walters. *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1982.

[29] B. Weiss. Sofic groups and dynamical systems. In: *Ergodic Theory and Harmonic Analysis (Mumbai, 1999). Sankhyā Ser. A* **62** (2000), 350–359.

Center of Mathematics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China.
Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, U.S.A.
E-mail address: hfli@math.buffalo.edu