

Lecture 18

Review L complex, semisimple. Any $x \in L$ has an abstract Jordan dec $x = d + n$ with $[d, n] = 0$, d diagonalizable, n nilpotent.

1. $\text{ad } x = \text{ad } d + \text{ad } n$ is usual J.D. in $\mathfrak{sl}(L)$
2. If $\text{ad } x$ is diagonalizable, ($n=0$), say x is a semisimple element.

Goal: Classify complex semisimple Lie algebras.

- Idea
1. Suppose $H \subseteq L$ is abelian w/ all elems semisimple, so all $\text{ad } h$ are diagonaliz.
 2. $[\text{ad } h, \text{ad } \tilde{h}] = \text{ad } [h, \tilde{h}] = 0$, so all $\text{ad } h$ are simultaneously diag.
 3. Thus L has a basis of weight vectors for adjoint action of H .
 4. When H is "large" this lets us classify L .

Motivating Ex $L = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, $H = 2$ -dim subalg of diagonal matrices.

Exercise Let $h = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}$, then $[h, e_{ij}] = (a_i - a_j)e_{ij}$.

Def $\varepsilon_i \in H^*$ by $\varepsilon_i(h) = a_i$

Prop Let L_{ij} , $i \neq j$, be $\text{span}\{e_{ij}\}$. Then L_{ij} is a weight space for H with weight $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$.

2. H is a 2-dim weight space for zero weight

3. Thus $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \cong H \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq j} L_{ij}$ as H -modules

4. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and wts are compatible, for example

e_{12}	wt	$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$
e_{23}	wt	$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$
$[e_{12}, e_{23}]$	wt	$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$

Prelims

Setup H abelian subalg, all elems ss. So L has a basis of weight vectors under adjoint action of H on L .

Def For $\alpha \in H^*$ let $L_\alpha = \{x \in L \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ \forall h \in H\}$ be the corresponding wt space

Ex $C_L(H) = \{x \in L \mid [h, x] = 0 \ \forall h \in H\}$ centralizer of H in L
 $= L_0$, note $H \subseteq L_0$ since abelian
check ideal \downarrow if H is

Def Let $\Phi = \{0 \neq \alpha \in H^* \mid L_\alpha \neq 0\}$. Then:

$$L = L_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha \quad (*)$$

- Finite set Φ since L is f.d
- $L = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{Q})$ as above, $\Phi = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2\}$

Lemma Let $\alpha, \beta \in H^*$

1. $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] \subseteq L_{\alpha+\beta}$
2. If $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$ then $\kappa(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0$ (i.e. $L_\alpha \perp L_\beta$)
3. κ is nondeg. on L_0 , i.e. $L_0 \cap L_0^\perp = 0$.

Pf 1. Let $x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [h, [x, y]] &= [[h, x], y] + [x, [h, y]] \\
 &= [\alpha(h)x, y] + [x, \beta(h)y] \\
 &= (\alpha(h) + \beta(h)) [x, y] \quad //
 \end{aligned}$$

2. $\alpha + \beta \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists h \neq 0$ so $(\alpha + \beta)(h) \neq 0$. Let $x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa([h, x], y) &= -\kappa(x, [h, y]) \\
 \downarrow \alpha(h)\kappa(x, y) & \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow -\beta(h)\kappa(x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = (\alpha(h) + \beta(h)) \kappa(x, y) \Rightarrow \kappa(x, y) = 0.$$

3. Suppose $z \in \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{L}^\perp$. By (*) and 2, $z \in \mathfrak{L}^{\text{ss}} \Rightarrow z=0$. //

Rak We want \mathfrak{H} as large as possible, this makes \mathfrak{Q} larger and wt spaces smaller, so Lemma gives more info on structure of \mathfrak{L} .

Def A Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{H} of \mathfrak{L} is a Cartan subalgebra (CSA) if $\left(\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{L} \text{ is} \\ \text{arbitrary} \end{array} \right)$

- 1 \mathfrak{H} is abelian.
- 2 Every $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ is semisimple.
- 3 \mathfrak{H} is maximal wrt properties 1,2 ($\exists \mathfrak{H} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$, w/ $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ as in 1,2).

Rak CSA aka maximal torus, maximal toral subalg.

Ex Diagonal matrices $h \in \mathfrak{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of dim 1.

* $C_{\mathfrak{M}(n, \mathbb{C})}(h) = \mathfrak{H}$ so \mathfrak{H} does not even sit in a larger abelian subalg.

Goal Let \mathfrak{L} be a complex semisimple Lie alg. Then \mathfrak{L} has a nonzero CSA \mathfrak{H} such that $\mathfrak{H} = C_{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Step 1 \mathfrak{L} contains a nonzero semisimple elt.

pf Every x has abst J.D. $x = d + n$ with $ad n$ nilpotent, $d, n \in \mathfrak{L}$.

If all d 's are 0 then every x is ad-nilpotent $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ is nilp $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ solv \neq .

Step 2 \mathfrak{L} contains a CSA

pf Take $0 \neq s$ a semisimple elt of \mathfrak{L} . Choose $s \in \mathfrak{H}$ with \mathfrak{H} maximal subject to being abelian and consisting of semisimple elts. Then \mathfrak{H} is a CSA.

Prop $C_L(H) = \bigcap_{h \in H} C_L(h)$

Technical Lemma Let H be CSA of ss Lie alg L . Then $\exists h \in H$ w $C_L(H) = C_L(h)$

Step 3 Thm Let H be a CSA of semisimple Lie alg L . Then $H = C_L(H)$

Proof H is abelian so $H \subseteq C_L(H)$. Choose h so $C_L(H) = C_L(h)$.

Claim $C_L(h) \subseteq H$.

Pf Let $x = s + n, x \in C_L(h)$.

Note $[h, x] = 0 \Rightarrow [h, s] = [h, n] = 0$ so $s, n \in C_L(h)$.

Thus $s \in H$, otherwise $\langle H, s \rangle$ is larger CSA.

Since $s \in H, \text{ad}_s|_{C_L(h)} = 0$, thus $\text{ad}_x = \text{ad}_n: C_L(h) \rightarrow C_L(h)$.

So $\forall x \in C_L(h), \text{ad}_x \in \mathfrak{gl}(C_L(h))$ is nilpotent $\xrightarrow{\text{Engel}}$ $C_L(h)$ is nilpotent.

Consider $\text{ad}: C_L(h) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$, image is solvable, so \exists basis of L so all upper Δ , and ad_n strictly. Thus:

$$\forall y \in C_L(h), \kappa(n, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_n \text{ad}_y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow n \in L_0 \cap L^\perp \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3}} n = 0$$

Thus $x = s \in H$ so $C_L(H) \subseteq H$, i.e. $\boxed{H = C_L(H)}$

Summary

L semisimple Lie algebra / \mathbb{C} , $\mathfrak{H} = C_L(\mathfrak{H})$ a CSA.

Since $L_0 = C_L(\mathfrak{H}) = L$ we have

$$L = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha \quad \leftarrow \text{root space decomposition}$$

Def

$\Phi \subset \mathfrak{H}^*$ are the set of roots of L

$\alpha \in \Phi$, L_α is the corresponding root space

Rmk $\alpha = \text{root} = \text{weight for } \mathfrak{H}$

2. Decomposition depends on choice of \mathfrak{H} .